



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,826	06/01/2004	Tishu Cai	MONS:150US	3825
73905	7590	03/19/2009	EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			ZHENG, LI	
P.O. BOX 061080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTH WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			1638	
CHICAGO, IL 60606				

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/709,826	CAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LI ZHENG	1638	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-16 and 18-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15,16 and 18-29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-14 and 30-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 34 and 35 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/23/2008.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-16 and 18-35 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 23, 2008 has been entered.

Applicants' amendments to claim 31 as well as submission of new claims 32-35 filed on 9/23/08 are acknowledged.

Claims 15-16 and 18-29 are withdrawn for being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-14 and 30-35 are examined on the merits.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1638

4. The rejections and objection that are not recited in this action are considered to be withdrawn.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 34-35 are objected for being dependent on a rejected claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smeekens et al. (1999, US Patent Number 5,986,173) .

Claim 14 is drawn to a transgenic maize plant produced by the method of claim 1.

The Office interprets that the claimed transgenic maize plant reads on any transgenic maize plant regardless of the transformation method.

Smeekens et al. each a transgenic maize plant (claim 23). Therefore the reference teaches all the limitations set forth by the instant claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-13 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation for maize using dual selection temperatures, does not reasonably provide enablement for a single selection temperature. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or other bacterium inoculation processes contemplated in the specification with which it is most nearly connected, to make/use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification teaches a modified maize transformation method in which selection temperature is elevated to 30°C ,32°C and 34°C for first two weeks and then reduced to 27 °C for the final week of selection (specification, paragraph [100]) . The specification teach that transformation efficiency is increase by 2 fold (paragraphs [0099]-[0100] and Table 3).

However, the instant claims is drawn to a transformation method that omits the second selection period. Given the admission by Applicants that modifying selection temperature in maize transformation is not well known in the art and the result of such modification is unpredictable (response, page 7. 2nd paragraph; also the paragraph bridging pages 7-8; page 8, last paragraph), undue experimentation would have

Art Unit: 1638

required for a person skilled in the art to practice a method not exemplified by Applicants to increase the transformation efficiency.

As for claim 31, the recitation “about 30 °C” encompass a selection temperature from 27 °C to 33 °C. Therefore, even a dual temperature selection method with, for example, a first temperature at 28 °C and second temperature at 27 °C, would not be enabled for increasing the efficiency of transformation. It is suggested to remove the recitation “about” to overcome the rejection.

Given breadth of the claims, lack of further guidance and working example, and unpredictability of the cereal transformation art, undue experimentation would be required for a person skilled in the art to practice the invention in full scope.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li Zheng whose telephone number is 571-272-8031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Li Zheng/

Examiner, Art Unit 1638